## Message

From: Dougherty, Mona@Waterboards [Mona.Dougherty@waterboards.ca.gov]

**Sent**: 4/3/2013 11:49:18 PM

To: Max Kuker [max.kuker@pgenv.com]

CC: Wesley Ganter [wes.ganter@pgenv.com]; WB-DWQ-NPDES\_Wastewater

[NPDES\_Wastewater@waterboards.ca.gov]; Isorena, Philip@Waterboards [Philip.Isorena@waterboards.ca.gov]; Document Control [documentcontrol@pgenv.com]; Greenberg, Ken [Greenberg.Ken@epa.gov]; Jake Albright

[jake.albright@pgenv.com]; James Ashby [james.ashby@pgenv.com]; Garcia-Bakarich, Luis [Garcia-

Bakarich.Luis@epa.gov]; KUO, LYNN [Kuo.Lynn@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: PG Environmental Regional Water Board 1 CEI Reports (Email 1 of 1)

Thanks Max. I will try to call you tomorrow. I'm having board meeting crises that's making it difficult for me to respond. Hopefully tomorrow.

Mona Dougherty, P.E.
Senior Water Resource Control Engineer
North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board
5550 Skylane Boulevard, Suite A
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
(707) 570-3761
Fax No. (707) 523-0135

Mona.Dougherty@waterboards.ca.gov

From: Max Kuker [mailto:max.kuker@pgenv.com]

**Sent:** Friday, March 29, 2013 2:01 PM **To:** Dougherty, Mona@Waterboards

Cc: Wesley Ganter; WB-DWQ-NPDES\_Wastewater; Isorena, Philip@Waterboards; Document Control; Max Kuker;

Greenberg.Ken@epamail.epa.gov; Jake Albright; James Ashby; Garcia-Bakarich.Luis@epamail.epa.gov;

Kuo.lynn@Epa.gov

**Subject:** PG Environmental Regional Water Board 1 CEI Reports (Email 1 of 1)

Dear Ms. Dougherty,

PG Environmental, LLC (PG) is delivering draft NPDES compliance evaluation inspection reports for the facilities shown in the following table. Please contact me directly at 703-707-8258 Ext. 101 with questions and comments regarding these inspection reports or photo logs. The enclosed inspection reports and photo logs are drafts and subject to revision at the Water Board's request.

Thanks, Max

Agency Name	Facility Name	Permit No.	Order No.	Inspection Date	Facility Rating	Comments
EUREKA, CITY OF	EUREKA CITY ELK RIVER WWTF	CA0024449	R1- 2009- 0033	3/15/2013	Rating 2 - Medium Priority Follow-up	Flow Measurement 1. The Discharger is required to monitor and report effluent flow. The Facility does not have an effluent flow meter

Agency Name	Facility Name	Permit No.	Order No.	Inspection Date	Facility Rating	Comments
						and reports influent flow as effluent flow.
						Stormwater 1. The Facility has storm drains which discharge to Humboldt Bay. While the Discharger does implement a stormwater management plan, they do not have coverage under the Industrial Stormwater Permit, do not sample stormwater, or do not have a Storm Water Pollution Prevent Plan that meets the requirement of the
MCKINLEYVILLE CSD	MCKINLEYVILLE CSD WWTF	CA0024490	2011- 0008-	3/12/2013	Rating 2 - Medium Priority	general permit.  Laboratory  1. The Lab was not ELAP certified, did not have a QA/QC program, and was not using SOPs for on-site analyses.  Biosolids/Solid Waste Handling & Disposal
			DWQ		Follow-up	Disposal 1. The Discharger has never removed any solids from the facultative ponds or oxidation ponds since the Facility was constructed in mid-1980s.
WILLITS, CITY OF	WILLITS WWTF	CA0023060	R1- 2010- 0017	3/4/2013	Rating 1 - High Priority Follow-up	General 1. The Discharger has recently reached substantial completion of several major plant upgrades. The Plant Supervisor stated that February 2013 was the first

Agency Name	Facility Name	Permit No.	Order No.	Inspection Date	Facility Rating	Comments
			No.	Date	Kating	month that all new processes were online, and monitoring under permit provisions for the new treatment train was fully implemented. February 2013 monitoring data had not yet been submitted to the North Coast Water Board and was not reviewed as part of this inspection. The Discharger switched from chlorine disinfection to UV disinfection on January 8, 2012.  Records/Reports 1. The 2012 Annual SMR and the 2012 Annual Biosolids Report had not been submitted and were overdue as of the date of the inspection (March 4, 2013). The 2011 annual reports were not maintained on site, and were not able to be reviewed at the time of the inspection.  Effluent and Receiving Waters 1. Foaming was observed at Discharge Point 003 following the enhanced treatment
						wetlands.  Flow Measurement
						1. Flow meter calibration records were not available for

Agency Name	Facility Name	Permit No.	Order No.	Inspection Date	Facility Rating	Comments
			No.	Date	Kating	review. The Plant Supervisor stated that routine calibrations do take place; however, documentation and/or certification is not provided by the contractor (MCC).  Self-Monitoring Program 1. The influent sampling point at the
						Facility was not located as described in the Permit. Specifically, the sampling location was located in the aerated grit chamber which is after treatment via screening. The Plant Supervisor stated that the current sampling location had
						been implemented within the last few months; however, the previous location was not representative of all flows entering the Facility. Previously, influent sampling was conducted at the influent Parshall flume which does not include flows
						entering the plant through the septage receiving station (as required by the permit).  2. The Discharger utilizes portable composite samplers for influent and effluent composite sampling.

Agency Name	Facility Name	Permit No.	Order No.	Inspection Date	Facility Rating	Comments
Agency Name	Facility Name	Permit No.			Rating	Thermometers were not present in the influent and effluent composite samplers to verify preservation temperatures were less than or equal to 6 degrees Celsius in the samplers. Further, no method of refrigeration was being utilized to properly preserve samples.  3. Sample times for effluent pH monitoring are not recorded to verify the 15 minute holding time.  4. Weekly monitoring for effluent pH is not reported in the monthly eSMRs as required by the permit. The Discharger only reports instantaneous maximum and minimum values in the monthly eSMRs. It should be noted that the Discharger monitors effluent pH daily.  5. Both the influent and effluent composite samplers were found to be time-paced rather
						than flow-based. The Plant Supervisor stated that samples are collected every 30 minutes.
						Operations and Maintenance

Agency Name	Facility Name	Permit No.	Order No.	Inspection Date	Facility Rating	Comments
Agency Name	Facility Name	Permit No.	Order No.	Inspection Date	Facility Rating	1. The Discharger does not maintain an operations log book or checklists/rounds sheets for daily operations and maintenance tasks. The primary on-site Facility representatives stated that they would purchase a log book and increase record keeping as soon as possible. Currently maintenance and operations tasks are performed primarily through institutional knowledge.  2. The Discharger does not maintain run logs for the onsite backup diesel generator. The Plant Supervisor stated that the generator is tested routinely and automatically, triggered by the SCADA system. He further stated that run records may be able to be extracted from the SCADA database, but was unable to provide them at the time of the inspection.  3. An O&M Manual for the new treatment processes
						was not available for review. The Plant Supervisor stated that the Discharger's
						engineering firm currently has a draft

Agency Name	Facility Name	Permit No.	Order No.	Inspection Date	Facility Rating	Comments
						version and is
						working on a finalized
						edition.
						Laboratory
						1. The Plant
						Supervisor stated
						that the on-site
						Facility laboratory's
						ELAP certification had
						expired
						approximately a year
						before the
						inspection. The
						Discharger had not
						completed a DMR QA
						or WP Study since the
						expiration.
						Documentation of
						the most recent
						proficiency study
						results were not able
						to be produced at the
						time of the
						inspection.
						Laboratory staff
						present during the
						inspection stated that
						a copy of Standard
						Methods is kept on
						site for procedure
						and quality control
						reference. The copy
						of Standard Methods
						was observed in the
						laboratory by the
						inspector.

Max Kuker PG Environmental, LLC 570 Herndon Parkway Suite 500 Herndon, VA 20170 Phone: 703-707-8258 (x101)

Fax: 703-707-8259

max.kuker@pgenv.com

Visit our website at www.pgenv.com

IMPORTANT: This transmission is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this transmission is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this transmission or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us by telephoning and return the original transmission to us at the address given above.